

## Stability Analysis for Finite Amplitude Disturbances

Let  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $p$  be a basic motion of a viscous fluid in a bound region  $V$ . Let  $S$  denote the surface boundary of  $V$ . The basic flow satisfies the Navier-Stokes equation and the continuity equation. In dimensionless form these are given as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \text{in } V \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{V} \quad \text{on } S. \quad (2)$$

Consider a disturbed motion  $\mathbf{v}^*$ ,  $p^*$ . The disturbed motion must satisfy the same equations and boundary condition. These are

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^*}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}^* \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}^* = -\nabla p^* + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}^*, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^* = 0, \quad \text{in } V, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{V} \quad \text{on } S. \quad (4)$$

The difference motion is defined as

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}^* - \mathbf{v}, \quad \pi = p^* - p. \quad (5)$$

Subtracting (1) from (3) and using (5), we find

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla \pi + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad \text{in } V, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } S. \quad (7)$$

Equation (6) is the governing equation for the finite amplitude disturbance.

The stability may be analyzed by studying the dynamics of the kinetic energy of the difference motion,  $T$ . That is,

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{u}^2 dV, \quad (8)$$

where the integral is over the volume  $V$  unless stated otherwise.

Using (6), we find

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = \int \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} dV = \int \left[ \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \pi - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} \right] dV \quad (9)$$

With the help of vector identities and divergence theorem, the right hand side of (9) is simplified. Using

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{u} = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})) + (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})^2 \quad (11)$$

The first term on the right hand side of (9) may be restated as

$$\int \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} dV = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{u} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} - \int (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})^2 dV. \quad (12)$$

The second term on the right hand side of (9) becomes

$$\int \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \pi dV = \int [\nabla \cdot (\pi \mathbf{u}) - \pi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}] dV = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \pi \mathbf{u} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0. \quad (13)$$

The last two terms in (9) also vanish identically. That is,

$$\int \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} dV = \int \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \frac{u^2}{2} dV = \int \left[ \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{v} \frac{u^2}{2} \right) - \frac{u^2}{2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \right] dV = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{v} \frac{u^2}{2} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\int \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \int \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \frac{u^2}{2} dV = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \frac{u^2}{2} \mathbf{u} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Using (12) – (15), equation (9) may be restated as

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \int (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})^2 dV - \int \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} dV. \quad (16)$$

Employing the Korn inequality,

$$\int (\nabla \times \mathbf{u})^2 dV \geq N \int u^2 dV, \quad (17)$$

where  $N$  is a number depending on the geometry (for spheres  $N = 80$ ) equation (16) becomes

$$\frac{dT}{dt} \leq 2 \left( -\frac{N}{\text{Re}} + \lambda \right) T. \quad (18)$$

Here,  $\lambda$  is the maximum eigenvalue of  $(-\nabla\mathbf{v})$  or  $(-\mathbf{d})$  in time period 0 to  $t$ . In deriving (18), we used the following inequality:

$$-\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{u} \leq \lambda u^2. \quad (19)$$

From (18), it follows that if it follows that if  $\text{Re} \leq \frac{N}{\lambda}$  then the kinetic energy of the difference motion decays to zero and the basic motion is stable. That is, from (18), we find

$$T \leq T(0) \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{N}{\text{Re}} - \lambda\right)t\right\}. \quad (20)$$

As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $T \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathbf{u} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{v}$  almost everywhere. Based on these results, the following theorem regarding the stability of basic motion may be stated.

**Theorem**

If for a basic flow of a viscous incompressible fluid in a bounded region of space  $V$ ,  $\text{Re} \leq \frac{N}{\lambda}$ , then the basic flow is stable.

Corollary 1 (Uniqueness of Unsteady Viscous Flows)

If  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}^*$  are two unsteady flows of a viscous fluid in a bounded region of space  $V$  having the same velocity distribution at time  $t = 0$  and on boundary of  $V$ , then they must be identical if  $\text{Re} \leq \frac{N}{\lambda}$ .

Corollary 2 (Uniqueness of Steady Viscous Flows)

If  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{v}^*$  are two steady flows of a viscous incompressible fluid in a bounded region  $V(t)$  subject to the same boundary conditions, then the two motions must be identical if  $\text{Re} \leq \frac{N}{\lambda}$ .